

CMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROGER TEDI EDWARDS,  
Petitioner,

v.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY  
OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.  
Respondents.

CIVIL NO. 16-127

**FILED**

APR 07 2016

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk  
By \_\_\_\_\_ Dep Clerk

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April 2016, upon careful and independent consideration of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, and upon review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Linda K. Caracappa [Doc. No. 6], to which there were no objections, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The R & R [Doc. No. 6] is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** for the reasons set forth therein;<sup>1</sup>
2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED** with prejudice;
3. There is no probable cause to issue a certificate of appealability.

The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to mark this case closed.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

  
CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.

<sup>1</sup> The Court agrees that Petitioner's petition is plainly time-barred by the limitations provisions in 28 U.S.C. § 2244, and no statutory or equitable tolling exceptions are applicable. Thus, summary dismissal of the petition is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243.